

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/798,036	JAMES, ROBERT G.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ahmed M. Farah	3735

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ahmed M. Farah.

(3) _____.

(2) Michael Bak-Boychuk (Reg. No. 26,362).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 August 2006

Time: 12:45 PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3-5, and 9-14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See *Continuation Sheet*

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 1, 3, 4 and 9-14 were briefly discussed in view of the prior art of record. Claim 5 was discussed to correct minor informalities in the claim language. Applicant's representative authorized the examiner to make agreed changes by Examiner's Amendment. Instead of making the changes in line-by-line, Claim 5 is replaced with a new claim 15 (see the attached Examiner's Amendment)..